
Q1 Assess the workshop from the standpoint of what you gained from the experience: How well did the workshop meet the following stated objectives?


Answered: 12 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Total	Weighted Average
 a. Can apply the rules to formulate the content of descriptive elements for a minimal standardized description; 	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	16.67% 2	83.33% 10	12	4.83
b. Understand the different application of DACS in single and multi-level descriptive outputs;	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	8.33%	33.33% 4	58.33% 7	12	4.50
c. Learned how to integrate DACS into basic repository processes such as accessioning, arrangement, and description;	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	41.67% 5	58.33% 7	12	4.58
d. Can articulate how DACS can be applied to the various activities of the archival enterprise.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	8.33%	41.67% 5	50.00% 6	12	4.42


Q2 How would you rate the:

Answered: 12 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Total	Weighted Average
New knowledge / skills acquired	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	25.00%	25.00%		
	0	0	6	3	3	12	3.75
Likelihood of applying concepts to your work	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	41.67%	50.00%		
	0	0	1	5	6	12	4.42
Expectations met per advertising	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.00

Q3 Rate the methods and materials relative to their value in accomplishing the workshop:



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Clarity of participant handouts	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	50.00%	33.33%	8.33%		
	0	0	1	6	4	1	12	4.2
Content of participant handouts	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	50.00%	33.33%	8.33%		
	0	0	1	6	4	1	12	4.2
Pre course readings	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%	50.00%	16.67%	0.00%		
	0	0	4	6	2	0	12	3.8
Exercises/group discussions	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	50.00%	33.33%	0.00%		
	0	0	2	6	4	0	12	4.1
Clarity of audio-visual aids	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	50.00%	25.00%	8.33%		
	0	0	2	6	3	1	12	4.0
Content of audio-visual aids	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	33.33%	33.33%	8.33%		
	0	0	3	4	4	1	12	4.0
How valuable was it to have the materials (including pre-reading	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	25.00%	58.33%	0.00%		
assignments) available in electronic form?	0	0	2	3	7	0	12	4.4

Q4 What aspect of the workshop methods/materials was most valuable to you? Why?


#	Responses	Date
1	First portion especially with examples was easier to understand and apply. Instructor spoke a little to fast and did not repeat always what class replied.	5/9/2016 10:33 AM
2	Instructors thorough knowledge of Dasc standard did not get in his way of unfolding knowledge in an understandable way.	5/9/2016 10:17 AM
3	The hand-out was nice.	5/9/2016 10:14 AM
4	Going through the whole material step by step was helpful, it forces you to think of all parts.	5/9/2016 10:06 AM
5	Instructors personal stories and real life examples, having materials to study ahead, clarity of presentation.	5/9/2016 10:00 AM
6	I personally can appreciate how the presentation followed the Dacs handbook in numerical / Chapter order. I had previously read Dacs a while back and having this information follow how I read the doc initially really cemented my understanding.	5/9/2016 9:42 AM
7	Exercises were most valuable because of the discussion.	5/6/2016 4:41 PM
8	Workbook of slides of the workshop.	5/6/2016 4:31 PM
9	N/A	5/6/2016 4:15 PM
10	The exercises were valuable, it was great to be able to practice and get feedback right away.	5/6/2016 4:14 PM
11	Testing Information.	5/6/2016 4:09 PM
12	Clarity of presentation, examples we did together.	4/28/2016 5:30 PM

DACS#1684 Seattle, WA

Q5 What aspect of the workshop methods/materials would you change? Why?

#	Responses	Date
1	For the exercises a visual for the recommended answer be handed out at the end of class so that when reviewing notes can make sure heard the answer correctly.	5/9/2016 10:33 AM
2	N/A	5/9/2016 10:17 AM
3	More hands on, how can this be applied? I wanted more firm examples.	5/9/2016 10:14 AM
4	More exercises and examples would have been helpful.	5/9/2016 10:06 AM
5	Part 2 too much just restating the slide after 2nd break, and he needs a usb remote slide changer.	5/9/2016 10:00 AM
6	I would really like to see Dacs actively used on different data structures or mapped on it. We use a couple different cms and structures therefore and it'd be really helpful to see how Dacs can transcend these differences.	5/9/2016 9:42 AM
7	N/A	5/6/2016 4:41 PM
8	Could use more examples for formulating and choosing different elements (title, extent, date, etc.)	5/6/2016 4:31 PM
9	N/A	5/6/2016 4:15 PM
10	The slides could have had more content unique to the class and not something available elsewhere.	5/6/2016 4:14 PM
11	Maybe more hands on material.	5/6/2016 4:09 PM
12	I would encourage people to bring their own copies of DACS, whether paper-based or on the web. Maybe we were told to do that but I don't remember it?	4/28/2016 5:30 PM

Q6 How would you rate the individual instructor?



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Outstanding	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Knowledge of topic	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	83.33%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	2	10	0	12	4.83
Preparation	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	75.00%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	3	9	0	12	4.75
Ability to handle questions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	83.33%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	2	10	0	12	4.83
Presentation skills	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	50.00%	41.67%	0.00%		
	0	0	1	6	5	0	12	4.33

DACS#1684 Seattle, WA


Q7 Additional Comments for Instructor:

#	Responses	Date
1	Read the slides too much.	5/9/2016 10:18 AM
2	Be sure to comment more on the slides rather than just read it. Your experience is very valuable to students.	5/9/2016 10:02 AM
3	Very knowledgeable about Dacs, and was able to apply this knowledge to all of our questions.	5/9/2016 9:43 AM
4	Presentation skills seemed good but some of the slide material were read almost verbatim.	5/6/2016 4:42 PM
5	The workshop ended early, so could have filled in with more practical examples for everyday usage.	5/6/2016 4:32 PM
6	Thank you for taking the time to share your knowledge and expertise with us.	4/28/2016 5:30 PM

Q8 What other workshop topics do you need for your continuing education and where would you like to see them held? (List city and state please).

#	Responses	Date
1	N/a	5/9/2016 10:34 AM
2	n/a	5/9/2016 10:18 AM
3	Marc records for archival collections.	5/9/2016 10:14 AM
4	N/A	5/9/2016 10:06 AM
5	Arrangement + Description (3 day) Portland or Seattle maybe @SAA in 2017 Portland.	5/9/2016 10:04 AM
6	Portland, Oregon or Seattle.	5/9/2016 9:45 AM
7	Arrangement and description, Seattle area or Northwest.	5/6/2016 4:45 PM
8	2 day in person workshop for A+D certification, Seattle, WA	5/6/2016 4:33 PM
9	N/A	5/6/2016 4:16 PM
10	N/A	5/6/2016 4:15 PM
11	Technical Dacs	5/6/2016 4:10 PM
12	Other Arrangement and Description and Digital classes Seattle, Washington; Portland, Oregon; Spokane, WA	4/28/2016 5:31 PM

Q9 How did find out about this workshop? Please check all that apply:




Answer Choices	Responses	
Archival Outlook	0.00%	0
In the Loop	0.00%	0
Email Blast	0.00%	0
Listserv Announcement	16.67%	2
Twitter	0.00%	0
Facebook	0.00%	0
SAA Website	16.67%	2
Colleague	33.33%	4
N/A	33.33%	4
Total Respondents: 12		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Pre conference information	5/9/2016 10:34 AM
2	NWA conference	5/9/2016 10:18 AM
3	NWA promo for meeting	5/9/2016 10:04 AM
4	NWA program agenda	5/9/2016 9:45 AM
5	Northwest ARchivists	4/28/2016 5:31 PM

Q10 May we use your evaluation and name for testimonials?





Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	41.67% 5
No	58.33% 7
Total	12

#	Name (optional)	Date
	There are no responses.	